1	Steven C. Vondran, [SBN 232337] THE LAW OFFICES OF STEVEN C. VONDRAN, PC							
2	620 Newport Center Drive, Suite 1100							
3	Newport Beach, California 92660 Telephone: (877) 276-5084							
4	steve@vondranlegal.com							
5	Attorney for IP ADDRESS 72.134.187.60							
6								
7	UNITED STATES I	DISTRICT COURT						
8	CENTRAL DISTRIC	T OF CALIFORNIA						
9								
	STRIKE 3 HOLDINGS, LLC.	No. 2:23-cv-02868-TJH-SP						
10	Plaintiff,							
11	·	ANSWER AND AFFIRMATIVE						
12	VS.	DEFENSES TO FIRST AMENDED COMPLAINT						
13	John Doe subscriber assigned IP address 72.134.187.60							
14	Defendants.							
15								
16	John Defendant IP address 72.134.187.60 ("Defendant") hereby submits thi							
17	Answer to the first amended complaint filed by STRIKE 3 HOLDINGS, LLC. In							
18	response to the Complaint, defendants answer as follows:							
19								
20	1. Denied							
21	2. Defendant lacks sufficient known	owledge and on that basis denies the						
22	11 D 1.0							
23	allegations in Paragraph 2.	allegations in Paragraph 2.						
24	3. Defendant lacks sufficient known	owledge and on that basis denies the						
25	allegations in Paragraph 3.							
26	4. Denied							
27	5 Danied							
28	5. Denied							

1	6. Denied							
2	7. Admit							
3 4	8. Admit							
5	9. Defendant admits to personal jurisdiction of this court, but denies							
6	infringement and lacks sufficient knowledge as to the remaining							
7	allegations, and on that basis denies the allegations in paragraph 9 and							
8 9								
	denies liability for copyright infringement.							
10 11	10. Defendant admits venue is proper.							
12	11.Defendant lacks sufficient knowledge and on that basis denies the							
13	allegations in Paragraph 11.							
14	12 A J							
15	12.Admit.							
16	13.Defendant lacks sufficient knowledge following reasonable inquiry and on							
17	that basis denies the allegations in Paragraph 13.							
18	14.Defendant lacks sufficient knowledge as to awards won and on that basis							
19 20	denies the allegations in paragraph 14.							
21								
	15.Defendant denies Plaintiff's pornographic movies have a positive global							
22 23	impact on society or the industry.							
24	16.Defendant lacks sufficient knowledge and on that basis denies the							
25	allegation in paragraph 16.							
26	17.Defendant lacks sufficient knowledge and on that basis denies the							
27								
28	allegation in paragraph 17.							

1	18.Defend	lant lacks	sufficient	knowledge	and	on	that	basis	denies	the
2	allegati	on in para	graph 18.							
3	_									
4	19.Detend	lant lacks	sufficient	knowledge	and	on	that	basis	denies	the
5	allegati	on in para	graph 19.							
6	20.Defend	lant lacks	sufficient	knowledge	and	on	that	basis	denies	the
7	allagati	ion in nove	aranh 20	_						
8	anegau	ion in para	igrapii 20.							
9	21.Defend	lant lacks	sufficient	knowledge	and	on	that	basis	denies	the
10	allegati	on in para	graph 21.							
11	22 Defend	lant lacks	sufficient	knowledge	and	οn	that	hasis	denies	the
12				Knowiedge	ana	OII	tilat	oasis	dellies	tiic
13	allegati	on in para	graph 22.							
14	23.Defend	lant lacks	sufficient	knowledge	and	on	that	basis	denies	the
15 16	allegati	on in para	graph 23.							
10 17		-		1 1 1	1		.1 .	1 .	1 .	.1
18	24.Defend	lant lacks	sufficient	knowledge	and	on	tnat	basis	denies	tne
19	allegati	ons in Par	agraph 24.							
20	25.Defend	lant lacks	sufficient	knowledge	and	on	that	basis	denies	the
21	allagati	on in para	aranh 25							
22	anegau	on in para	igrapii 23.							
23	26.Defend	lant lacks	sufficient	knowledge	and	on	that	basis	denies	the
24	allegati	on in para	graph 26.							
25	27 Defend	lant lacks	sufficient	knowledge	and	οn	that	hasis	denies	the
26				mio wiedge	unu	OII	uiui	Ousis	3011105	
27	allegati	on in para	graph 27.							
28	28.Defend	lant lacks	sufficient	knowledge	and	on	that	basis	denies	the

28

1	allegation in paragraph 28.						
2	29.Defendant lacks sufficient knowledge and on that basis denies the						
3 4	allegation in paragraph 29.						
5	30.Defendant lacks sufficient knowledge and on that basis denies the						
6							
7	allegation in paragraph 30.						
8	31.Defendant lacks sufficient knowledge following reasonable inquiry and on						
9	that basis denies the allegations in Paragraph 31.						
10	32.Defendant lacks sufficient knowledge following and on that basis denies						
11	the allegations in Paragraph 32						
12	the allegations in Paragraph 32.						
13	33.Defendant lacks sufficient knowledge and on that basis denies the						
14 15	allegation in paragraph 33.						
16	34.Defendant lacks sufficient knowledge and on that basis denies the						
17	allegations in Paragraph 34.						
18	25 Defendant leaks sufficient knowledge and on that basis denies the						
19	35.Defendant lacks sufficient knowledge and on that basis denies the						
20	allegations in Paragraph 35.						
21	36.Defendant lacks sufficient knowledge and on that basis denies the						
22	allegation in paragraph 36.						
23							
24	37.Defendant lacks sufficient knowledge and on that basis denies the						
25	allegation in paragraph 37.						
26 27	38.Defendant lacks sufficient knowledge and on that basis denies the						
28	allegations in Paragraph 38.						

- 1	
1	39.Defendant lacks sufficient knowledge and on that basis denies the
2	allegations in Paragraph 39.
3	
4	40.Defendant lacks sufficient knowledge and on that basis denies the
5	allegations in Paragraph 40.
6 7	41.Defendant lacks sufficient knowledge following reasonable inquiry and on
8	that basis denies the allegations in Paragraph 41.
9	42.Defendant lacks sufficient knowledge following reasonable inquiry and on
10	
11	that basis denies the allegations in Paragraph 42.
12	43.Denied
13	44.Denied
14 15	45.Defendant lacks sufficient knowledge and on that basis denies the
16	allegations in Paragraph 45.
17	46. Admit Plaintiff is seeking damages, but deny they are entitled to such.
18	47.Defendant lacks sufficient knowledge and on that basis denies the
19	
20	allegations in Paragraph 47.
21	48.Defendant lacks sufficient knowledge and on that basis denies the
22	allegations in Paragraph 48.
23 24	49.Defendant lacks sufficient knowledge and on that basis denies the
25	
26	allegations in Paragraph 49.
27	50.Defendant lacks sufficient knowledge and on that basis denies the
28	allegations in Paragraph 50.

1	51	1.Defendant	lacks	sufficient	knowledge	and	on	that	basis	denies	the
2		allegations	in Para	agraph 51.							
3 4	52	2.Defendant	lacks	sufficient	knowledge	and	on	that	basis	denies	the
5		allegations	in Para	ngraph 52.							
6	53	3.Admit									
7			10 olza	aufficient	Irmovyladaa	on d	0.10	that	haaia	donica	th c
8	34	+.Derendant	lacks	sufficient	knowledge	and	OII	ınaı	Dasis	denies	tne
9		allegations	in Para	ngraph 54.							
10 11	55	5.Defendant	lacks	sufficient	knowledge	and	on	that	basis	denies	the
12		allegations	in Para	agraph 55 a	nd denies inf	ringe	men	t.			
13	56	5 Defendant	lacks	sufficient	knowledge	and	on	that	hasis	denies	the
14					MIO WIEGGE	una		tiiut	Oubib	Genres	
15		allegations	ın Para	igraph 56.							
16	57	7.Defendant	lacks	sufficient	knowledge	and	on	that	basis	denies	the
۱7		allegations	in Para	agraph 57.							
18	58	8.Defendant	lacks	sufficient	knowledge	and	on	that	basis	denies	the
19		allegations	in Para	agraph 58							
20		_									
21 22	59	9.Defendant	lacks	sufficient	knowledge	and	on	that	basis	denies	the
23		allegations	in Para	agraph 59.							
24	60	O.Denied									
25	6	l.Defendant	lacks	sufficient	knowledge	and	on	that	basis	denies	the
26										<i></i>	
27		allegations	ın Para	igraph 61.							
28	62	2.Denied.									

1	63.Defendant	lacks	sufficient	knowledge	and	on	that	basis	denies	the
2	allegations	in Para	ngraph 63.							
3				lznowladaa	and	on	that	bosis	donios	tho
4	64.Defendant	iacks	Sufficient	Kilowieuge	anu	OII	mat	Dasis	uemes	uie
5	allegations	in Para	ngraph 64.							
6 7	65.Defendant	lacks	sufficient	knowledge	and	on	that	basis	denies	the
8	allegations	in Para	ngraph 65.							
9	66.Defendant	lacks	sufficient	knowledge	and	on	that	basis	denies	the
10	allegations	in Para	ograph 66	_						
11					_					
12	67.Defendant	lacks	sufficient	knowledge	and	on	that	basis	denies	the
13	allegations	in Para	ngraph 67.							
14	68.N/A									
15 16	69.Defendant	lacks	sufficient	knowledge	and	on	that	basis	denies	the
17	allegations	in Para	igraph 69.							
18 19	70.Denied.									
20	71.Denied									
21	72.Defendant	lacks	sufficient	knowledge	and	on	that	basis	denies	the
22										
23	anegations	III Para	igrapii / i a	nd items (A)	-(E).					
24	73.Denied									
25	74.Denied as t	o all al	legations ir	n paragraph 6	55 inc	ludi	ng (A	(D)).	
26 27	PRAYER FOR REL	JEF –	Defend ar	nt denies lial	oility	and	deni	es that	Plaintif	ff is
20	entitled to any relief	includi	no all reque	ests made in	narac	rank	73 (A)-(F)		

1 2

3

4

5 6

8

7

1011

1213

1415

1617

18

19 20

2122

2324

25

2627

28

Further, Defendant asserts the following affirmative defenses:

FIRST AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

(Failure to Mitigate Damages)

1. Plaintiff failed to mitigate the damages alleged in the Complaint. Defendant alleges that Strike 3 Holdings learns of infringements and instead of taking immediate action to prevent further alleged infringements, it lets them pile up so they can reach at least a movie count of 20 or more. This is a continuing threat as they are on information and belief, able to continue monitoring the alleged infringing IP address from the date of the first downloaded movie. The plan is to rack up damages, not to prevent them.

SECOND AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

(Consent)

2. Defendants are informed and believe and thereon allege that plaintiff authorizes and consents to their movies being downloaded and shared by others as they are routinely offered for FREE on various porn tube sites and as such, they understand that people will share their clips on a BitTorrent application and by their actions consent to this.

WHEREFORE, Defendants pray for judgment as follows:

- 1. For judgment in favor of defendants and against plaintiff, dismissing plaintiff's Complaint with prejudice;
 - 2. For all costs incurred herein;
- 3. For attorney's fees when Plaintiff dismisses their case (and declaratory judgment that Defendant was a *non-infringer* and the *prevailing party* to the copyright action);
- 4. For such further relief as the Court in its discretion deems just, equitable and proper.
 - 5. Defendant requests a bench trial.

Case	2:23-cv-02868-TJH-SP	Document 18 Filed 11/17/23 Page 9 of 10 Page ID #:123
1		
2	RESPECTFULLY SUE	BMITTED
3	DATED this 17th day of	of November, 2023.
4		THE LAW OFFICES OF STEVEN VONDRAN, P.C.
5		1.0.
6		By /s/ Steve Vondran
7		By <u>/s/ Steve Vondran</u> Steven C. Vondran, Esq. Attorneys for IP 72.134.187.60
8		
9		
10		
11		
12		
13 14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE ORIGINAL of the foregoing *e-filed* this 17th day of November 2023, to all registered ECF users: Law Offices of Lincoln Bandlow, P.C. 1801 Century Park E. Suite 2400 Los Angeles, California 90067 Attn: Lincoln Dee Bandlow, Esq. Email: lincoln@bandlowlaw.com I declare the foregoing to be true and correct under the laws of perjury of the United States of America. Executed on November 17th, 2023, at Phoenix, AZ /s/ Lisa Vondran Lisa Vondran, Assistant